

An international, prospective observational study on traumatic brain injury epidemiology Study protocol

Participate on: <u>https://geotbi.org/</u> E-mail: geotbi@orion.net

NIHR Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury

A collaboration funded by National Institute for Health Research Acquired Brain and Spine Injury Research Group



Brain Injury MedTech Co-operative



Endorsed by World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies

Author list:

Alexis Joannides^{*1}, Tommi K. Korhonen^{*1}, David Clark¹, Sujit Gnanakumar¹, Sara Venturini¹, Midhun Mohan¹, Thomas Bashford², Ronnie Baticulon³, Beverly Cheserem⁴, Indira Devi Bhagavatula⁵, Ignatius Esene⁶, Rocio Fernandez-Mendez¹, Anthony Figaji⁷, Deepak Gupta⁸, Tariq Khan⁹, Tzegaseab Laeke¹⁰, Michael Martin¹¹, David Menon², Wellingson Paiva¹², Kee Park¹³, Andres Rubiano¹⁴, Vijaya Sekhar¹⁵, Hamisi Shabani¹⁶, Kachinga Sichizya¹⁷, Davi Solla¹², Abenezer Tirsit¹⁰, Manjul Tripathi¹⁸, Bart Depreitere¹⁹, Corrado Iaccarino²⁰, Laura Lippa²¹, Andrew Reisner²², Gail Rosseau²³, Franco Servadei²⁴, Rikin Trivedi¹, Vicknes Waran²⁵, Angelos Kolias^{**1}, Peter Hutchinson^{**1}, on behalf of the GEO-TBI collaborative and the NIHR Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury * with equal contribution

** with equal contribution

Affiliations:

^{1.} NIHR Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, UK

^{2.} Division of Anaesthesia, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge & Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, UK

^{3.} Division of Neurosurgery, Department of the Neurosciences, Philippine General Hospital & University of the Philippines, Manila, Philippines

⁴ Department of Neurosurgery, Aga Khan University Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya

^{5.} Department of Neurosurgery, National Institute of Mental Health and Neuro Sciences, NIMHANS, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India

⁶ Division of Neurosurgery, Faculty of Health Sciences, The University of Bamenda, Bambili, Cameroon
 ⁷ Division of Neurosurgery, Red Cross Children's Hospital & University of Cape Town, Cape Town,

South Africa

^{8.} Department of Neurosurgery, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India

⁹. Department of Neurosurgery, North Western General and Research Hospital, Peshawar, Pakistan

^{10.} Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

^{11.} Orion MedTech Ltd CIC, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire, UK

^{12.} Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, University of São Paulo,São Paulo, Brazil

^{13.} Global Neurosurgery Initiative-Program in Global Surgery and Social Change, Department of GlobalHealth and Social Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts

^{14.} Neurosciences Institute, El Bosque University, Bogotá, Colombia

GEO-TBI: Incidence – Study Protocol

NIHR Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury

- ^{15.} Department of Neurosurgery, King George Hospital, Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India
- ^{16.} Department of Neurosurgery, Muhimbili Orthopaedic Institute, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania
- ^{17.} Department of Neurosurgeyr, University Teaching Hospital, Lusaka, Zambia
- ^{18.} Department of Neurosurgery, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India
- ^{19.} Department of Neurosciences, University Hospital Leuven, UZ, Leuven, Belgium
- ^{20.} University Hospital of Modena, Neurosurgery NOCSAE Hospital of Baggiovara, Modena, Italy
- ^{21.} Department of Neurosurgery, Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Senese Le Scotte, Siena, Italy
- ^{22.} Department of Neurosurgery, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta & Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA
- ^{23.} Department of Neurosurgery, George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences,Washington, DC, USA
- ^{24.} Humanitas Research Hospital-IRCCS & Humanitas University, Rozzano, Milan, Italy
- ^{25.} Division of Neurosurgery, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Corresponding Author's name and permanent address: Alexis Joannides, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB20QQ, Cambridgeshire, UK Corresponding Author's Email: aj238@cam.ac.uk Abstract word count: 224 Text word count: 3448 Number of references: 46 Number of tables and figures: 4 + 1 Supplementary Table

Background

The epidemiology of traumatic brain injury (TBI) is unclear – it has been estimated to affect 27 to 69 million individuals every year with the bulk of the TBI burden in low-to-middle income countries (LMICs). Recent research has highlighted significant between-hospital variability in TBI outcomes following emergency surgery, but the overall incidence and epidemiology of TBI remains unclear. To address this need, the Global Epidemiology and Outcomes following Traumatic Brain Injury (GEO-TBI) registry has been established, enabling the recording of all TBI cases requiring admission irrespective of surgical treatment (https://geotbi.org/).

Objective

The *GEO-TBI: Incidence* study aims to describe TBI epidemiology and outcomes according to development indices, and to highlight best practices to facilitate further comparative effectiveness research.

Design

Multi-centre, international, registry-based, prospective cohort study.

Subjects

Any unit managing TBI and participating in the GEO-TBI registry will be eligible to join the study. Each unit will select a 90-day study period. All TBI patients meeting the registry inclusion criteria (neurosurgical/ICU admission or neurosurgical operation) during the selected study period will be included in the *GEO-TBI: Incidence*.

Methods

All units will form a study team, that will gain local approval, identify eligible patients and input data. Data will be collected via the secure registry platform and validated after collection. Identifiers may be collected if required for local utility in accordance with the GEO-TBI protocol.

Data

Data related to initial presentation, interventions and short-term outcomes will be collected in line with the GEO-TBI core dataset, developed following consensus from an iterative survey and feedback process. Patient demographics, injury details, timing and nature of interventions and post-injury care will be collected alongside associated complications. The primary outcome measures for the study will be the Glasgow Outcome at Discharge Scale (GODS) and 14-day mortality. Secondary outcome measures will be mortality and extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOSE) at the most recent follow-up timepoint.

GEO-TBI: Incidence – Study Protocol

NIHR Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury

Introduction

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a growing global health concern due to increasing and ageing populations in LMICs and high-income countries (HICs) respectively (1). Despite the importance of TBI epidemiology in informing health service planning, research priorities, and public health policy, this remains poorly understood. TBI is estimated to affect 27-69 million people every year, although data from LMICs is scarce (1,2).

The Global Neurotrauma Outcomes Study (GNOS) has recently demonstrated country- and hospital-level differences in the case mix, management, and outcomes of surgically treated TBI (3). Of note, outcome variability between countries was almost entirely accounted for by their human development index (HDI). Highlighting opportunities to influence outcomes by identification and replication of best practice, significant between-hospital outcome variation was described within countries (3).

To elucidate the observed differences in TBI epidemiology, case-mix and outcomes, and to pave the way for comparative effectiveness research, systematic data collection of all TBI patients is needed (4). To address this need, the Global Epidemiology and Outcomes following Traumatic Brain Injury (GEO-TBI) registry has been established. The registry is an international registry for recording TBI, with the core dataset focusing on injury mechanisms, initial presentation, interventions, and short-term outcomes. Any centre treating TBI is welcome to join the registry, and further information on protocols and documentation is available on https://geotbi.org.

The *GEO-TBI: Incidence* is the first collaborative study to run on the GEO-TBI registry. It is a multi-centre, international, prospective observational study, that aims to describe global TBI epidemiology based on real-world clinical data using the core dataset of the GEO-TBI registry via a snapshot design – all TBI patients admitted to the local hospital during a 90-day window will be included in the study. It is expected that data collection will be continued after the conclusion of the initial collection period as part of the GEO-TBI registry collaborative.

Objectives

Primary objective

 Describe the epidemiology of TBI using primary clinical data and compare outcomes of TBI between Human Development Index (HDI) tiers.

Secondary objectives

- 2) Examine differences in patient demographics, mechanisms of injury, and baseline clinical characteristics alongside indications, means and outcomes of TBI management.
- 3) Establish an international benchmark as a basis to compare outcomes in future clinical audits, epidemiological and intervention effectiveness research.
- 4) Compare the settings and resources of TBI management with regard to development indices.
- 5) Demonstrate the feasibility of collaborative registry data collection in an international setting and encourage ongoing prospective data collection.
- 6) Expand and empower the international network of neurotrauma professionals to conduct collaborative TBI research.

Roles, responsibilities and authorship

Central study team

A team of lead investigators from the NIHR Global Health Research Group on Neurotrauma will be responsible for co-ordinating the *GEO-TBI: Incidence* study.

Local study team

Each participating institution will form a local study team consisting of the senior study lead, data collectors, optional additional members and an independent data validator. The validator will work independently to the rest of the local team and will perform local data validation after its collection as outlined on p. 7 under *Data capture and validation*. Local teams may consist of a mix of surgeons, physicians, research associates and/or trainees. On centre registration, a Data Sharing Agreement outlining data processing will be formulated (p. 5) and the participant site profile questionnaire (Appendix 1) will be completed by the centres.

Authorship

The GEO-TBI registry follows the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors criteria for authorship. In addition, all members of the local study teams will be listed individually as PubMedcitable GEO-TBI collaborators in any central reporting output. In any other manuscripts arising from the GEO-TBI dataset (such as participant centre-initiated projects), members of the local teams who participated in the corresponding project should be listed as authors or PubMed-citable collaborators. In any publications arising from GEO-TBI-based data, it is expected that the GEO-TBI collaboration is acknowledged.

- Co-Principal Investigators Peter Hutchinson, Angelos Kolias and Alexis Joannides
- Study Research Fellow Tommi Korhonen
- Protocol Development Group Thomas Bashford, Ronnie Baticulon, David Clark, Indira Devi Bhagavatula, Ignatius Esene, Anthony Figaji, Beverly Cheserem, Tariq Khan, Tzegaseab Laeke, David Menon, Midhun Mohan, Wellingson Paiva, Kee Park, Andres Rubiano, Vijaya Sekhar, Hamisi Shabani, Kachinga Sichizya, Davi Solla, Abenezer Tirsit, Sara Venturini, Deepak Gupta, Manjul Tripathi, Rocio Fernandez-Mendez, Myat Thu, Michael Martin
- Honorary Advisory Panel Bart Depreitere, Corrado Iaccarino, Laura Lippa, Andrew Reisner, Gail Rosseau, Franco Servadei, Rikin Trivedi, Vicknes Waran

Data ownership, processing and storage

Data ownership remains with the participating centres in accordance with the GEO-TBI protocol. As per the GEO-TBI protocol, data is processed on behalf of the participating unit by Orion MedTech CIC (a not-for-profit organisation) independently of the central study team. Data will be collected and stored on a secure online platform (https://orion.net). Anonymised aggregate data will be shared with the central study team to enable analysis as outlined in the Data Sharing Agreement. Collection of identifiable data is supported by the platform, but not required in order to participate to *GEO-TBI: Incidence* or the GEO-TBI registry in general. If identifiable data is decided to be collected by the participating units, any identifying data will be kept strictly confidential and accessible only for local use. A detailed explanation is available in the GEO-TBI registry protocol (https://geotbi.org/documents).

Local collaborators will be given access to their own data after completion of the study to enable comparison of local practice with regional or international standards. Named collaborators will be able to request access to the entire data set or a subset of the data to perform post-hoc analyses to answer a defined research question. The study dataset will also be included in the aggregated core registry data reports and benchmarking.

Methods

Inclusion criteria

Centre inclusion criteria

Any hospital or clinic worldwide offering acute TBI treatment (surgical and/or non-surgical) and participating in the GEO-TBI registry will be eligible to join the study.

Patient inclusion criteria

All adult and paediatric patients admitted to the participating institution with a traumatic brain injury during the selected 90-day inclusion period are eligible for inclusion in the *GEO-TBI*: *Incidence* study. The inclusion criteria are the GEO-TBI core registry criteria:

• Clear history of recent trauma leading to TBI

AND at least one of:

- Neurosurgical admission with TBI
- Intensive care unit (ICU) admission with TBI
- Neurosurgical procedure(s) for TBI

GEO-TBI: Incidence – Study Protocol

NIHR Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury

Patient exclusion criteria

Patients receiving elective (planned admission) procedures or admission.

Data set

The GEO-TBI registry core dataset (Appendix 2) will be collected on all patients admitted to the participating unit due to TBI during in the study period. The collected data consists of 3 subsections – injury/admission data, operative data and outcome data. The dataset was formed via an international iterative consensus-based approach comprising an initial face-to-face meeting between a network of neurotrauma professionals from LMICs and HICs, an international survey to ensure usefulness and feasibility of the dataset in a variety of healthcare settings, and a final consensus meeting to define the final dataset. A publication outlining this process and the registry protocol is currently under peer review.

Each institution lead will be asked to fill out a questionnaire on resources available for neurotrauma care and rehabilitation in their healthcare setting. Finally, the data will be validated by the local independent validator as described on p. 7 under *Data capture and validation*.

Duration of study

Local study teams can select any 90-day period as their data input period. From the date of their injury, teams must follow patients up for a minimum of two weeks. For example, if a team selects the the 1st of March 2023 as their start date then they must include all patients who meet the inclusion criteria between then and the 30th of April 2023. Functional outcomes at the date of last follow-up will also be included as a secondary measure.

Outcome measures

Primary outcome

The primary outcome measures for the study will be 14-day mortality and the Glasgow Outcome Scale at Discharge (GODS) (5). The GNOS study demonstrated 14-day mortality as a useful and feasible outcome measure for data collection in a comparable setting comprising both LMICs and HICs (3), and the GODS system has been validated by McMillan and colleagues to be a robust predictor of post-discharge recovery with capability to compensate for loss to follow-up (5).

Secondary outcomes

Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) at hospital discharge.Intracranial infections during the admission.Length of stay in hospital and intensive care.GOSE at latest follow-up.

Data capture and validation

Data capture

Collected data will be stored exclusively on a secure web-based registry system within the Orion described earlier (https://orion.net). The platform enables the secure data collection, validation and storage in a standard (SQL) format and is compliant with NHS security standards (including the Information Governance toolkit). The feasibility of data collection was confirmed in an internal pilot.

Data validation

Data validation will occur by two mechanisms:

- Web-based forms in the registry will contain fixed options at the point of data entry to maximise the likelihood of accurate and complete data capture from the outset.
- A local data validator independent of the local study team will be appointed at every
 participating site. After the 90-day study period chosen by the local team has ended, the data
 validator will be asked to retrospectively identify all patients admitted to their hospital during
 the 90-day period and complete the Data Validation Form (Appendix 3).

Statistical analysis

Epidemiology

The overall mortality after surgically and non-surgically managed TBI is not well known. Studies with differing methodologies suggest highly varying estimates of the worldwide yearly TBI incidence. Extrapolating data from road traffic injuries, Dewan et al. suggest that between 64-74 million TBIs occur yearly with the highest incidence in Northern America and Europe, whereas the absolute TBI burden was found to be highest in resource-poorer regions (2). A review based on the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016 suggested a TBI incidence of 27 million/year, but noted that this is probably an underestimate, as the results were derived predominantly from hospital-based sources (1). Indeed, 70-90% of treated TBI (presenting to secondary care) is of mild severity, which probably represents 17-30% of all mild TBI (6,7). A survey study showed that the incidence of TBI varied from <1% in China to almost 15% in Mexico suggesting a broad range of incidence rates

across both LMICs and HICs (8). There is a consensus that the incidence of TBI is likely increasing – in HICs due to population ageing (9), and in LMICs due to increasing motorisation leading to more road traffic injury-related TBIs (10). The Lancet Neurology Commission on TBI reported that an international consensus is needed on standardised epidemiological monitoring of TBI to allow the measurement of its incidence and mortality as well as comparison of access to care (11). The GEO-TBI collaboration is a step towards this direction.

Standard of care

Mortality

Data on TBI outcomes in relation to development indices is scarce. Data from GNOS confirmed previous reports indicating that most surgically managed TBIs in HICs are severe, but mild-to-moderate TBI is more prevalent in the medium and low HDI tier countries (3). Furthermore, the pathologies varied in relation to the HDI tiers, patient age and injury mechanisms. Surgeries in very high and high HDI tier countries were conducted more commonly due to acute subdural haematomas, whereas epidural haematomas and skull fractures were more common in medium and low HDI tier countries, respectively. Correspondingly, patients were oldest in higher HDI countries and youngest in low HDI countries – falls were the most common cause of injuries in HICs, whereas road traffic accidents and violence were the prominent mechanisms in medium and low HDI tiers, respectively (3), which corresponds with research discussed under *Epidemiology* (pp. 7-8). Most between-country variation in TBI surgery outcomes was explained by HDI (3).

In GNOS, fourteen-day mortality following emergency surgery for severe TBI was 33% in very high HDI tier countries, 31% in high HDI tier, 43% in medium HDI tier and 27% in low HDI tier countries (3), which is in line with previous research suggesting a long-term mortality of 30% in HICs, but in contrast to earlier results indicating a mortality of 51% in LMICs for severe TBI (12). The mechanism behind this difference is unclear – it is possibly related to country-level differences in patient selection for surgery and pre-hospital management of TBI. This highlights the rationale for the *GEO-TBI: Incidence* study to help elucidate the basis for this discrepancy.

Incidence of TBI in individual unit catchment areas during a consecutive 90-day period will be determined. To account for case mix and interventions, a logistic regression model will be constructed. In the model, patient-, institution- and country-level confounders are adjusted for. Patient-level confounders include age, GCS, pupillary reactivity, major extracranial injury; the

hospital in which the patient is managed is the institution-level confounder; and country-level confounders include the country and its HDI tier.

Time from injury to imaging

The UK National Institute of Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines for investigation of suspected clinically significant acute head injuries suggests that such patients should be assessed on arrival in the emergency department, and a CT head should be conducted within 1 hour of arrival (13). A large European dataset showed that a shorter time from TBI leading to GCS 9-12 to CT head scan was associated with reduced time to intervention and ED stay times, but not with mortality or worse outcomes (14).

Time from injury to intervention

Mortality after trauma craniotomy increases sharply, if the procedure is conducted more than four hours after injury (15,16). In GNOS, the median delay from injury to intervention was 8 hours. The median delays from injury to admission, admission to operation and injury to operation were highest in low HDI tier countries, and lowest in high HDI tier countries with significant HDI tier-related variation. Importantly, even in the very high HDI tier, the time from injury to surgery exceeded 4 hours in half of such cases – in the low HDI tier, the minimum times in all of the above categories were 4 hours or longer.(3)

Infections

Post-craniotomy infection rates in recent large studies have been reported to be 5-7% (17,18). In GNOS, the surgical site infection rate was 3%, though the 14-day follow-up period is probably not sufficient to characterise the total incidence of surgical site infections.

GOSE

The GOSE is not a sufficient measure of neurological outcome after the 14-day follow-up applied in the *GEO-TBI: Incidence* study. On the other hand, long-term follow-up may be altogether infeasible in certain settings. Therefore, the GOSE will be measured at the most recent available follow-up as a secondary outcome. GOSE scores typically increase within the first year after moderate-to-severe TBI patients (19), but seem to plateau in longer-term follow-up (20).

Analysis

Statistical advice was provided by the MRC Biostatistics Unit, University of Cambridge. We plan to stratify the countries in which the participating units are situated in relation to their HDI index (very high, high, medium and low) as defined by the United Nations (21) and successfully applied in the GNOS and the GlobalSurg studies (3,22,23). The HDI is a tri-dimension composite measure comprising life expectancy index, education index and gross national income index, that consist of life expectancy at birth, expected and mean years of schooling, and GNI per capita, respectively.

Participating centres will be stratified based on their country into four groups based on their Human Development Index (HDI) rank, similar to the approach successfully used by the GlobalSurg studies (16, 17). The Human Development Index is calculated for each country based on life expectancy at birth, years of schooling, years of schooling and gross national income (GNI) per capita (http://hdr.undp.org/en/composite/HDI).

Limitations

The GNOS highlighted disparities in case-mix and outcomes after emergency surgery for TBI, but it was not designed to assess the epidemiology of TBI, an area deficit of robust information. Data on TBI epidemiology may be used to inform different stakeholders, such as patients, care providers and policymakers, and to eventually guide data-driven decision-making and funding allocation. Indeed, the unavailability of data may impede funding opportunities as reports are commonly required by international funding providers and universities. Furthermore, the *GEO-TBI: Incidence* will form a basis for ongoing auditing and research intiatives as part of the GEO-TBI registry, and aims to provide insight to guide comparative effectiveness research. However, the methodology of the *GEO-TBI: Incidence* will not allow for evaluation of TBI that does not result in a hospital admission.

All data and results must be interpreted in the context of the participating units' healthcare setting – for example, long-term follow-up may not be feasible in all settings. The epidemiological representativeness of the data depends on case ascertainment into the online registry. As such, the dataset was formed following an international consensus of neurotrauma care providers and it was kept sufficiently concise, while still capturing the most pertinent variables.

Approval

This study, as well as the GEO-TBI registry, will measure current practice and will not introduce any changes to current patient care. A part of the GEO-TBI registry, local approvals for the reporting of anonymised aggregate data will already be in place as part of the core registry enrolment process.

Support and funding

This study is endorsed by the World Federation of Neurological Surgeons (WFNS). Funding for the administrative costs of this study are being provided by the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR) Global Health Research Group on Acquired Brain and Spine Injury.

References

1. GBD 2016 Traumatic Brain Injury and Spinal Cord Injury Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of traumatic brain injury and spinal cord injury, 1990-2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2019 Jan;18(1):56–87.

2. Dewan MC, Rattani A, Gupta S, Baticulon RE, Hung YC, Punchak M, et al. Estimating the global incidence of traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg. 2018 Apr 1;1–18.

3. Clark D, Joannides A, Adeleye AO, Bajamal AH, Bashford T, Biluts H, et al. Casemix, management, and mortality of patients rreseceiving emergency neurosurgery for traumatic brain injury in the Global Neurotrauma Outcomes Study: a prospective observational cohort study. Lancet Neurol. 2022 May;21(5):438–49.

4. Bonow RH, Vavilala MS. Disparities in neurosurgical care for traumatic brain injury. Lancet Neurol. 2022 May;21(5):398–9.

5. McMillan TM, Weir CJ, Ireland A, Stewart E. The Glasgow Outcome at Discharge Scale: an inpatient assessment of disability after brain injury. J Neurotrauma. 2013 Jun 1;30(11):970–4.

6. Cassidy JD, Carroll LJ, Peloso PM, Borg J, von Holst H, Holm L, et al. Incidence, risk factors and prevention of mild traumatic brain injury: results of the WHO Collaborating Centre Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. J Rehabil Med. 2004 Feb;(43 Suppl):28–60.

7. Sosin DM, Sniezek JE, Thurman DJ. Incidence of mild and moderate brain injury in the United States, 1991. Brain Inj. 1996 Jan;10(1):47–54.

8. Khan A, Prince M, Brayne C, Prina AM. Lifetime Prevalence and Factors Associated with Head Injury among Older People in Low and Middle Income Countries: A 10/66 Study. PloS One. 2015;10(7):e0132229.

9. Roozenbeek B, Maas AIR, Menon DK. Changing patterns in the epidemiology of traumatic brain injury. Nat Rev Neurol. 2013 Apr;9(4):231–6.

10. Maas AIR, Stocchetti N, Bullock R. Moderate and severe traumatic brain injury in adults. Lancet Neurol. 2008 Aug;7(8):728–41.

11. Maas AIR, Menon DK, Adelson PD, Andelic N, Bell MJ, Belli A, et al. Traumatic brain injury: integrated approaches to improve prevention, clinical care, and research. Lancet Neurol. 2017 Dec;16(12):987–1048.

12. De Silva MJ, Roberts I, Perel P, Edwards P, Kenward MG, Fernandes J, et al. Patient outcome after traumatic brain injury in high-, middle- and low-income countries: analysis of data on 8927 patients in 46 countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2009 Apr;38(2):452–8.

13. Recommendations | Head injury: assessment and early management | Guidance | NICE [Internet]. NICE; [cited 2022 Sep 16]. Available from:

https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg176/chapter/Recommendations#investigating-clinically-important-brain-injuries2017

14. Schellenberg M, Benjamin E, Owattanapanich N, Inaba K, Demetriades D. The impact of delayed time to first CT head in traumatic brain injury. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg. 2021;47(5):1511–6.

15. Seelig JM, Becker DP, Miller JD, Greenberg RP, Ward JD, Choi SC. Traumatic acute subdural hematoma: major mortality reduction in comatose patients treated within four hours. N Engl J Med. 1981 Jun 18;304(25):1511–8.

16. Mendelow AD, Gillingham FJ. Extradural haematoma: effect of delayed treatment. Br Med J. 1979 Jul 14;2(6182):134.

17. Korinek AM, Golmard JL, Elcheick A, Bismuth R, van Effenterre R, Coriat P, et al. Risk factors for neurosurgical site infections after craniotomy: a critical reappraisal of antibiotic prophylaxis on 4,578 patients. Br J Neurosurg. 2005 Apr;19(2):155–62. 18. Sneh-Arbib O, Shiferstein A, Dagan N, Fein S, Telem L, Muchtar E, et al. Surgical site infections following craniotomy focusing on possible post-operative acquisition of infection: prospective cohort study. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis Off Publ Eur Soc Clin Microbiol. 2013 Dec;32(12):1511–6.

19. McCrea MA, Giacino JT, Barber J, Temkin NR, Nelson LD, Levin HS, et al. Functional Outcomes Over the First Year After Moderate to Severe Traumatic Brain Injury in the Prospective, Longitudinal TRACK-TBI Study. JAMA Neurol. 2021 Aug 1;78(8):982–92.

20. Forslund MV, Perrin PB, Røe C, Sigurdardottir S, Hellstrøm T, Berntsen SA, et al. Global Outcome Trajectories up to 10 Years After Moderate to Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. Front Neurol. 2019 Mar 14;10:219.

21. Nations U. Human Development Index [Internet]. Human Development Reports. United Nations; [cited 2022 Sep 14]. Available from: https://hdr.undp.org/data-center/human-development-index

22. GlobalSurg Collaborative. Surgical site infection after gastrointestinal surgery in highincome, middle-income, and low-income countries: a prospective, international, multicentre cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018 May;18(5):516–25.

23. GlobalSurg Collaborative. Mortality of emergency abdominal surgery in high-, middle- and low-income countries. Br J Surg. 2016 Jul;103(8):971–88.

Centre details	
Institution name:	
Institution address:	
Institution country:	
Local registry team members	Local registry lead/contact person
	Full name:
	E-mail:
	Contact number:
	Local data validator
	Full name:
	E-mail:
	Contact number:
	Full names and e-mails of other local team
	members:
	nicinocis.
Centre characteristics	
Healthcare level of your centre:	Primary/Secondary/Tertiary
Do you consider your hospital rural or	Rural/Urban
urban?	
Does your centre manage only adults, only	Adults only/Children only/Both
children, or both?	
Do patients have to pay for the care they	Yes, all of it/Yes, some of it/No, none of it
receive at your centre?	
Early management of TBI	$\mathbf{A} = 1 + $
	Ambulance (including HEMS), staffed by doctor(s) Ambulance (including HEMS), staffed by
	paramedics
How do TBI patients arrive to your	Ambulance (including HEMS), staffed by non-
hospital?	medical practitioners
	Car, staffed by non-medical practitioners
	Other, what?
Deserver controlicere e trevere teore whe	All of the time
Does your centre have a trauma team who immediately assesses seriously injured	Most of the time
patients upon their arrival to your hospital?	Some of the time
	Never
Do you have at least one CT scanner in your	Yes
hospital?	No
	Yes
Is there constant access to a CT scanner in	No
your hospital?	If no: is there a nearby institution you can send patients to for emergency CT imaging?
	Yes
	No
In your hospital, TBI is managed by:	Neurosurgeons
(tick all that apply)	General surgeons

Appendix 1. Participant site profile questionnaire.

	NCCU/ICU	/anaesthetists		
	General prac			
	Other, who?			
Operative management of TBI				
How many fully trained neurosurgeons are				
employed by your institution?				
How many cranial neurosurgical procedures				
are performed in your institution per year?	X7 C 11		XZ C	
Do you have access to the following in	Yes, for all	Yes, for	Yes, for	Never
cranial neurosurgery?	cases	most cases	some cases	
High-speed drill				
Diathermy (monopolar and/or bipolar)				
Haemostatic agents				
Perioperative management of TBI	T			
Does your centre have an intensive care unit				
(ICU)?	No			
Number of ICU beds for adult neurosurgery patients (if your institute only treats				
paediatric patients, enter 0)				
Number of ICU beds for paediatric				
neurosurgery patients (if your institute only				
treats adult patients, enter 0)				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Neurosurgical ICU			
	Neurotrauma ICU			
How would you best describe the ICU that	Neurological/neurosciences ICU			
TBI patients are admitted to in your	Trauma ICU			
institution?	Surgical ICU			
	Medical ICU General ICU			
	Yes			
Does your institution have a separate	No, paediatric TBI patients are managed in the			
paediatric ICU?	same ICU as adult patients			
	We do not manage paediatric TBI			
Do you have access to an ultrasound	Yes			
machine in your ICU?	No		1	
How ofter are the following available for	All of the	Most of the	Some of	None of the
the treatment of TBI patients in your hospital?	time	time	the time	time
Mechanical ventilator				
Invasive blood pressure (arterial line)				
Central venous pressure (central line)				
End-tidal CO ₂ monitoring (capnography)				
Intravenous fluids				
Hyperosmolar therapy (e.g. mannitol,				
hypertonic saline) Tranexamic acid				
Sedatives				

All of the	Most of the	Some of	None of the
			time
Yes No If Yes, how many TBI patients are followed up? 0-25% 25-50% 50-75%			
75-100% Neurosurgeons NCCU/ICU/anaesthetists Trauma surgeons General surgeons Neurologists General practitioners Other, who?			
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners		
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners		
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners		
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners		
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners		
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners	12	
Neurosurgeo NCCU/ICU Trauma surg General surg Neurologists General prac	/anaesthetists geons geons s ctitioners	1 ²	
	No If Yes, how 0-25% 25-50%	time time Image: state	time time the time Image: state





Appendix 2. The Global Epidemiology and Outcomes following Traumatic Brain Injury (GEO-

TBI) Case Report Form.

Demographic data	
Name	Surname, forename
Date of birth	dd.mm.yyyy
Sex	Male/female
Unique patient ID number	CHI number/NHS number/National patient identifier
Hospital	Choose from participating hospitals
Injury data	
Date and time of injury	dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm
Mechanism of injury	Select one:
	Fall: level/<2m/≥2m Vehicle collision: car/motorcycle/pedestrian/bicycle/other Assault: firearm/blade/blunt Blast Not otherwise specified: occupational/recretional/self-harm/other/unknown
Primary intracranial	Not otherwise specified. Occupational/recretional/sen-nami/other/unknown
injury Secondary intracranial injury	Select one:
	Scalp injury Fracture of skull vault Base of skull fracture Compound fracture of skull Concussion Diffuse brain injury Focal brain injury or contusion Extradural haematoma Acute subdural haematoma Chronic subdural haematoma Traumatic subarachnoid haemorrhage Traumatic intraventricular haemorrhage Injury to cranial nerve Unspecified injury to head No secondary intracranial injury
Presence of major extracranial injury	Yes/No
Initial GCS *	Eye, Verbal, Motor: 1–4, 1–5, 1–6, respectively
Pupil reactivity *	Left, right: Yes/No/Unassessable
Focal neurological deficit	Yes/No/Unassessable
ASA grade	I–V





ORION

Secondary transfer	Yes/No		
Systolic blood			
pressure prior to	Millimetres of mercury, option for unknown		
resuscitation			
SpO2 prior to	0/ option for unknown		
resuscitation	%, option for unknown		
Imaging data			
No imaging performed	Tick if no imaging		
	If imaging performed:		
Date of initial CT head	dd.mm.yyyy		
Imaging pathology present	Select if present:		
	Extradural haematoma Subdural haematoma Contusion Fracture Intraventricular blood Traumatic subarachnoid haemorrhage		
Midline shift on initial CT	Millimetres		
Obliteration of basal cisterns		Select one:	
		Normal Compressed Absent	
Admission data			
Date and time of hospital admission	dd.mm.yyyy, hh:mm		
Pre-hospital intubation	Yes/No		
	Non-operative management only If operatively managed, select if conducted:		
Treatment	Washout or debridement (including penetrating injury) ICP monitoring EVD Burrhole(s) Fracture elevation Craniotomy Craniectomy Posterior fossa decompression		
Intracranial infection	Other surgical procedure		
	Yes/No	Yes/No	
during admission			





	Yes/No
Intubation †	If Yes: Dates of intubation & extubation or tracheostomy (dd.mm.yyyy) Extubation Tick one: Independent ventilation/tracheostomy/terminal
Intensive care unit admission	Yes/No If Yes: Dates of ICU admission & discharge dd.mm.yyyy
In-hospital mortality	Yes/No If No: Glasgow Coma Scale at hospital discharge Eye, Verbal, Motor: 1–4, 1–5, 1–6, respectively
Glasgow Outcome at Discharge Scale	1-8
Date of hospital discharge	dd.mm.yyyy
Outcome data	
Date of assessment	dd.mm.yyyy
Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale	1-8

* Initial GCS and pupil status: after resuscitation, pre-intubation or at presentation if not intubated.

[†] Intubation other than solely for surgery. For example, if the patient was only intubated for intraoperative anaesthesia (e.g. surgery of subdural and epidural haematomas causing only mild neurological impairment). If the patient was kept intubated postoperatively, they should be recorded as intubated.





Appendix 3. Data validation form

To ensure the epidemiological quality of the GEO-TBI data, the local data validator is requested to fill the below fields using an alternative information source such as hospital records, theatre logbooks or institutional reports.

Centre name:	
Number of admissions due to TBI	
during the year:	
Number of operations due to TBI	
during the year:	
Source of information:	